IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

FAUSTINA ORTIZ,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§	
UNITED STATES LIABILITY	§	
INSURANCE GROUP AND	§	
FREDERIC KEITH JEFFERSON	§	
	§	
Defendants	§	

INDEX OF MATTERS BEING FILED

Pursuant to Rule 81 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, the following is an index of all documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in the County Court at Law No. 2 of Montgomery County, Texas:

Exhibit	Date	Document
4A	May 31, 2017	Plaintiffs' Original Petition
4B	May 31, 2017	Civil Case Information Sheet
4C	May 31, 2017	Chad T. Wilson's request for service by certified mail return receipt requested through the court of Defendants, United States Liability Insurance Group and Frederic Keith Jefferson with attached Citation issued to Defendant, United States Liability Insurance Group, Citation issued to Defendant, Frederic Keith Jefferson, and Clerk's Certified Mail Receipt of United States Liability Insurance Group and Clerk's Certified Mail Receipt of Frederick Keith Jefferson
4D	June 1, 2017	Clerk's Certificate of Service by Certified Mail to United States Liability Insurance Group
4E	June 1, 2017	Clerk's Certificate of Service by Certified Mail to Frederic Keith Jefferson

Case 4:17-cv-02018 Document 1-5 Filed in TXSD on 06/30/17 Page 2 of 32

Received and E-Filed for Record 5/31/2017 4:10:00 PM Barbara Gladden Adamick District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

CAUSE NO.	17-06-06669	To the second se
FAUSTINA ORTIZ,	§	IN THE JUDICIAL COURT OF
Plaintiff,	§ §	
V.	§ §	Montgomery County - DC - County Court at Law #2
	§	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
UNITED STATES LIABILITY	§	ŕ
INSURANCE GROUP	§	
and FREDERIC KEITH JEFFERSON,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	DISTRICT COURT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Faustina Ortiz, ("Plaintiff"), and files Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosure, complaining of United States Liability Insurance Group ("United") and Frederic Keith Jefferson ("Jefferson") (or collectively "Defendants") and for cause of action, Plaintiff respectfully shows the following:

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION, JURY DEMAND, AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 3, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190.4 and 169.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Faustina Ortiz, resides in Montgomery County, Texas.
- 3. Defendant, United States Liability Insurance Group, is a Pennsylvania insurance company engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. Plaintiff requests service of citation upon United States Liability Insurance Group through its registered agent for service: <u>Lisa Mann, Bingham Mann and House, 4500 Yoakum Boulevard., Houston, Texas 77006</u>. Plaintiff requests service at this time.

4. Defendant Frederic Keith Jefferson is an individual resident of Houston, Texas. Jefferson may be served with citation at the address listed with the Texas Department of Insurance:
2120 Capital Street, Apartment 3414, Houston, Texas 77003. Plaintiff requests service at this time.

JURISDICTION

- 5. The Court has jurisdiction over United because this Defendant engages in the business of insurance in the State of Texas, and the causes of action arise out of United's business activities in the state, including those in Montgomery County, Texas, with reference to this specific case.
- 6. The Court has jurisdiction over Jefferson because this Defendant engages in the business of adjusting insurance claims in the State of Texas, and the causes of action arise out of this Defendant's business activities in the State of Texas, including those in Montgomery County, Texas, with reference to this specific case.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in Montgomery County, Texas because the insured property is located in Montgomery County, Texas, and all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Montgomery County, Texas. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.032.

FACTS

- 8. Plaintiff asserts claims for fraud, breach of contract, violations of sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and violations of the Texas DTPA.
- 9. Plaintiff owns a United States Liability Insurance Group homeowner's insurance policy, number BP1586592 ("the Policy"). At all relevant times, Plaintiff owned the insured

- premises located at 402 East Davis, Conroe, Texas 77301 ("the Property").
- 10. United or its agent sold the Policy, insuring the Property, to Plaintiff. United or its agent represented to Plaintiff that the Policy included wind and hailstorm coverage for damage to Plaintiff's home. United has refused the full extent of that coverage currently owed to Plaintiff.
- 11. On or about May 26, 2016, the Property sustained extensive damage resulting from a severe storm that passed through the Conroe, Texas area.
- 12. In the aftermath of the wind and hailstorm, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United against the Policy for damage to the Property. United assigned claim number K103804 to Plaintiff's claim.
- 13. Plaintiff asked United to cover the cost of damage to the Property pursuant to the Policy.
- 14. Damaged areas of the property include, but are not limited to the roof, vents, flashings, windows, window screens, fascia, gutters, downspouts, HVAC system, shed, patio, and fencing. The storm compromised the integrity of the roof allowing water to enter, causing water damage to the following areas of the interior: dining room, kitchen, hallway, men's restroom, women's restroom, prep room, and storage.
- 15. United assigned or hired Jefferson to adjust the claim.
 - a. Jefferson had a vested interest in undervaluing the claims assigned to him by United in order to maintain his employment. The disparity in the number of damaged items in his report compared to that of Plaintiff's Third-Party Adjuster's is evidence of fraud on the part of Jefferson. The valuation of damages that were included in Jefferson's report compared to Plaintiff's Third-Party Adjuster's is also evidence of fraud on the part of Jefferson.

- b. Furthermore, Jefferson was aware of Plaintiff's deductible before visiting the Property to conduct the inspection. Jefferson had advanced knowledge of what amount of damages he needed to find in order to either deny the claim or find the claim below the deductible.
- c. Jefferson made misrepresentations as to the amount of damage Plaintiff's Property sustained as well as misrepresentations regarding how much it would cost to repair the damage to Plaintiff's Property.
- d. Jefferson made further misrepresentations to Plaintiff during his inspection.

 Jefferson used his expertise to fabricate plausible explanations for why visible damage to Plaintiff's Property would not be covered under the policy. Such misrepresentations include damage to the Property owing from wear and tear and damage of a type not consistent with the type of claim that was made.
- 16. United, through its agents, namely Jefferson, conducted a substandard and improper inspection and adjustment of the Property, which yielded grossly inaccurate and unrealistic assessments of the cause, extent, and dollar amount of damage to the Property.
- 17. The initial adjustment of the claim occurred on or around September 6, 2016. Jefferson found that there was no damage from a covered peril to the property.
- 18. After application of the policy deductible, Plaintiff was left without adequate recovery to complete proper repairs on Plaintiff's home
- 19. To date, Plaintiff has received \$0.00 for damage to Plaintiff's Property. The damage to Plaintiff's Property is currently estimated at \$33,566.78.
- 20. Since due demand was made on January 19, 2017, United has not communicated that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire loss covered

under the Policy, nor did it provide any explanation for failing to settle Plaintiff's claim properly. Instead, United or its agent Olga Garcia of Combined Group, an insurance agency that presumably manages Plaintiff's policy on behalf of United, sent Plaintiff a "memorandum" letter on March 3, 2017, which listed a number of "mandatory recommendations" of repairs United or its agents purportedly observed during adjustment of the claim and stated that failure to comply and confirm compliance in writing within forty-five days of the letter would result in policy cancellation.

- 21. As stated above, Defendants failed to assess the claim thoroughly. Based upon Defendants' grossly unreasonable, intentional, and reckless failure to investigate and adjust the claim properly, United failed to provide full coverage due under the Policy.
- 22. As a result of United's failure to provide full coverage, along with United's delay tactics to avoid reasonable payment to Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered damages.
- 23. United failed to perform its contractual duties to Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, United refused to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for an amount sufficient to cover repairs to the damaged Property, and all conditions precedent to recover upon the Policy were accomplished by Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendants' misrepresentations, unreasonable delays, and continued denials constitute a breach of the statutory obligations under Chapters 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code. Thus, the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of a breach of the insurance contract between United and Plaintiff.
- 25. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(1). Defendants have not attempted to settle Plaintiff's claim in a fair manner, even though Defendants were aware of their liability to

- Plaintiff under the Policy. Specifically, Defendants have failed to timely pay Plaintiff's coverage due under the Policy.
- 26. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(2)(A). Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff a reasonable explanation for not making the full payment under the terms of the Policy.
- 27. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(4). Defendants refused to provide full coverage due to Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, United, through its agents, servants, and representatives, namely Jefferson, performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair, and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses on the Property.
- 28. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.055. Defendants failed to reasonably accept or deny Plaintiff's full claim within the statutorily mandated time after receiving all necessary information.
- 29. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.056. Defendants failed to meet their obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding timely payment of the claim. Specifically, Defendants have delayed payment of Plaintiff's claim longer than allowed, and Plaintiff has not received full payment for the claim.
- 30. Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions forced Plaintiff to retain the professional services of the attorneys and law firm representing them with respect to these causes of action.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT UNITED STATES LIABILITY INSURANCE GROUP

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 31. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 32. United is liable to Plaintiff for intentional violations of the Texas Insurance Code, and intentional breach of the common-law duty of good faith and fair dealing. It follows, then, that the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of an intentional breach of the insurance contract between United and Plaintiff.
- 33. United's failure and/or refusal to pay adequate coverage as obligated under the terms of the Policy, and under the laws of the State of Texas, constitutes a breach of the insurance contract with Plaintiff.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES

- 34. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 35. United's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a). All violations under this article are actionable by TEX. INS. CODE §541.151.
- 36. United's unfair settlement practice of misrepresenting to Plaintiff material facts relating to coverage constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(1).
- 37. United's unfair settlement practice of failing to attempt in good faith to make a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(2)(A).

- 38. United's unfair settlement practice of failing to provide Plaintiff a prompt and reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for partial denial of the claim, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(3).
- 39. United's unfair settlement practice of failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(4).
- 40. United's unfair settlement practice of refusing to pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(7).

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: THE PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS

- 41. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 42. United's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. All violations made under this article are actionable by TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.
- 43. United's failure to notify Plaintiff in writing of its acceptance or rejection of the full claim within the applicable time constraints constitutes a non-prompt payment in violation of TEX. INS. CODE §542.056.
- 44. United's delay in paying Plaintiff's claim following receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, for longer than the amount of time provided, constitutes a non-prompt payment of the claim. TEX. INS. CODE §542.058.

BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 45. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 46. United's conduct constitutes a breach of the common-law duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to an insured in insurance contracts.
- 47. United's failure to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiff's claim, although, at that time, United knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that liability was reasonably clear, constitutes a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- 48. Furthermore, United or its agent Olga Garcia of Combined Group, an insurance agency that presumably manages Plaintiff's policy on behalf of United, sent Plaintiff a "memorandum" letter on March 3, 2017, which listed a number of "mandatory recommendations" of repairs United or its agents purportedly observed during adjustment of the claim and stated that failure to comply and confirm compliance in writing within forty-five days of the letter would result in policy cancellation. Instead of working in good faith and dealing fairly with Plaintiff, United utilized its observations and reports on Plaintiff's claim to serve as basis for cancellation of Plaintiff's insurance policy with United, which constitutes a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

DTPA VIOLATIONS

- 49. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 50. United's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.41–63. Plaintiff is a consumer of goods and services provided by United pursuant to the DTPA. Plaintiff has met all conditions

precedent to bringing this cause of action against United. Specifically, United's violations of the DTPA include, without limitation, the following matters:

- A. By its acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, United has violated sections 17.46(b)(2), (5), (7), (9), (12), (20) and (24) of the DTPA. United's violations include without limitation, (1) unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment, and resolution of Plaintiff's claim, (2) failure to give Plaintiff the benefit of the doubt, and (3) failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiff's property when liability has become reasonably clear, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(2).
- B. United represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and United's adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits that they did not possess, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(5) of the DTPA.
- C. United also represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and United's adjusting services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, in violation of section 17.46(b)(7) of the DTPA.
- D. Furthermore, United advertised the Policy and adjusting services with the intent not to sell them as advertised, in violation of section 17.46(b)(9) of the DTPA.
- E. United breached an express warranty that the damages caused by wind and hail would be covered under the Policy. This breach entitles Plaintiff to recover under sections 17.46(b)(12) and (20) and 17.50(a)(2) of the DTPA.
- F. United's actions are unconscionable in that United took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. United utilized its estimates and reports from Plaintiff's claim not to justify and ultimately

- pay Plaintiff for her loss but instead as basis for justification that United cancel Plaintiff's insurance policy. United's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiff a right to relief under section 17.50(a)(3) of the DTPA; and
- G. United's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of section 17.50(a)(4) of the DTPA.
- 51. Each of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of United is a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages. All of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures were committed "knowingly" and "intentionally," as defined by the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

FRAUD

- 52. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 53. United is liable to Plaintiff for common-law fraud.
- 54. Each and every misrepresentation described above concerned material facts that absent such representations, Plaintiff would not have acted as Plaintiff did, and United knew its representations were false or made recklessly without any knowledge of their truth as a positive assertion.
- United made the statements intending that Plaintiff act upon them. Plaintiff then acted in reliance upon the statements, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer injury constituting common-law fraud.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT FREDERIC KEITH JEFFERSON

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES

- 56. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 57. Jefferson's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Claim Settlement Practices Act. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a).
- 58. Jefferson is individually liable for his unfair and deceptive acts, irrespective of the fact that he was acting on behalf of United, because Jefferson is a "person," as defined by TEX. INS. CODE §541.002(2).
- 59. Jefferson knowingly underestimated the amount of damage to the Property. As such, Jefferson failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the investigation of the claim arising under the Policy. TEX. INS. CODE §542.003(3).
- 60. Furthermore, Jefferson did not attempt in good faith to affect a fair, prompt, and equitable settlement of the claim. TEX. INS. CODE §542.003(4).
- 61. Jefferson's unfair settlement practice of failing to provide Plaintiff a prompt and reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for partial denial of the claim, also constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(3).
- 62. Jefferson's unfair settlement practice of failing to attempt in good faith to make a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(2)(A).

DTPA VIOLATIONS

- 63. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 64. Jefferson's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.41–63. Plaintiff is a consumer of goods and services provided by Jefferson pursuant to the DTPA. Plaintiff has met all conditions precedent to bringing this cause of action against Jefferson. Specifically, Jefferson's violations of the DTPA include the following matters:
 - A. By this Defendant's acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, Jefferson has violated sections 17.46(b)(2), (5), and (7) of the DTPA. Jefferson's violations include, (1) failure to give Plaintiff the benefit of the doubt, and (2) failure to write up an estimate reflecting the proper repair of Plaintiff's Property when liability has become reasonably clear, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(2).
 - B. Jefferson represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and his adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits they did not possess, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(5) of the DTPA.
 - C. Jefferson represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and his adjusting services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, in violation of section 17.46(b)(7) of the DTPA.
 - D. Jefferson's actions are unconscionable in that Jefferson took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree.

 Jefferson's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiff a right to relief under section 17.50(a)(3) of the DTPA; and

- E. Jefferson's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of section 17.50(a)(4) of the DTPA.
- 65. Each of Jefferson's above-described acts, omissions, and failures is a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages. All acts, omissions, and failures were committed "knowingly" and "intentionally" by Jefferson, as defined by the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.45.

FRAUD

- 66. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 67. United assigned or hired Jefferson to adjust the claim.
 - a. Jefferson had a vested interest in undervaluing the claims assigned to him by United in order to maintain his employment. The disparity in the number of damaged items in his report compared to that of Plaintiff's Third-Party Adjuster's is evidence of fraud on the part of Jefferson. The valuation of damages that were included in Jefferson's report compared to Plaintiff's Third-Party Adjuster's is also evidence of fraud on the part of Jefferson.
 - b. Furthermore, Jefferson was aware of Plaintiff's deductible before even visiting the Property to conduct the inspection. Jefferson had advanced knowledge of what amount of damages he needed to find in order to either deny the claim or find the claim below the deductible.
 - c. Jefferson made misrepresentations as to the amount of damage Plaintiff's Property sustained as well as misrepresentations regarding how much it would cost to repair the damage to Plaintiff's property.

d. Jefferson made further misrepresentations to Plaintiff during his inspection.

Jefferson used his expertise to fabricate plausible explanations for why visible damage to Plaintiff's Property would not be covered under the policy. Such misrepresentations include damage to the Property owing from wear and tear and damage of a type not consistent with the type of claim that was made.

NEGLIGENCE

- 68. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 69. Jefferson was negligent in his actions with regard to his adjusting of Plaintiff's claim and violated the standard of care for an insurance adjuster licensed in the state of Texas. Those failures include one or more of the following acts or omissions:
 - a. Failure to conduct a reasonable inspection;
 - b. Failure to include covered damage that would be discovered as a result of reasonable inspection;
 - c. Failure to identify the proper cause and scope of the damage to Plaintiff's Property;
 - d. Failure to identify the cost of proper repairs to Plaintiff's Property; and
 - e. Failure to communicate to Plaintiff the reasons for specific determinations made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of damage to Plaintiff's Property.
- 70. Jefferson's acts and/or omissions constitute negligence. His conduct was therefore a proximate cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- 71. At all relevant times, Jefferson was an agent or employee of Defendant United.
- 72. Jefferson's unreasonable inspection was performed within the course and scope of his duties with Defendant United. Therefore, United is also liable for the negligence of Jefferson through the doctrine of respondent superior.

GROSS NEGLIGENCE

- 73. All allegations above are incorporated herein.
- 74. Jefferson's actions or omissions constitute gross negligence as defined in TEX. CIV. P. & REM. CODE § 41.001 (11)(A) and (B):
 - a. Jefferson's actions, when viewed objectively from the standpoint of the actor at the time of their occurrence involves an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of potential harm to Plaintiff; and
 - b. Jefferson had actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, and/or welfare of Plaintiffs.
- 75. Jefferson intentionally misrepresented the scope and amount of damages on the estimate prepared for Plaintiffs' Property on behalf of United. His estimate was to such an extreme degree below what another licensed adjuster would have done in this situation (as evidenced by the Third-Party Adjuster's estimate); it was also in complete disregard for the risk and harm Plaintiff would suffer if the actual damages to the Property were allowed to persist unrepaired.

KNOWLEDGE

76. Defendants made each of the acts described above, together and singularly, "knowingly," as defined in the Texas Insurance Code, and each was a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages described herein.

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

77. Defendants waived and are estopped from asserting any coverage defenses, conditions, exclusions, or exceptions to coverage not contained in any reservation of rights letter to Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

- 78. Since the claim was made, United has not properly compensated Plaintiff for all necessary repairs made, which are covered under the Policy. This has caused undue hardship and burden to Plaintiff. These damages are a direct result of Defendants' mishandling of Plaintiff's claim in violation of the laws set forth above.
- 79. Defendants made the above and other false representations to Plaintiff, either knowingly or recklessly, as a positive assertion, without knowledge of the truth. Defendants made these false misrepresentations with the intent that Plaintiff act in accordance with the misrepresentations. Plaintiff then relied on these misrepresentations, including but not limited to those regarding coverage and the cause and scope of damage. Plaintiff suffered damages as a result.
- 80. Plaintiff would show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing causes of damages sustained. The acts, omissions, failures, and conduct of Defendants have caused Plaintiff's damages, which include, without limitation, costs for all necessary repairs required to be made to Plaintiff's Property, and any investigative and engineering fees incurred.
- 81. For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of Plaintiff's bargain, which is the amount of Plaintiff's claim, consequential damages, together with attorney's fees.
- 82. The damage to Plaintiff's Property is currently estimated at \$33,566.78.

- 83. For noncompliance with the DTPA and Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefits owed pursuant to the Policy, court costs, and attorney's fees. For knowing and intentional conduct of the acts described above, Plaintiff asks for three (3) times Plaintiff's actual damages. TEX. INS. CODE §541.152 and TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.50(B)(1).
- 84. For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiff is entitled to the amount of Plaintiff's claim, plus an eighteen percent (18%) per annum penalty on that claim, as damages, as well as pre-judgment interest and reasonable attorney's fees. TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.
- 85. For breach of the common-law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Defendants' breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of money United owed, and exemplary damages.
- 86. Defendants' breach of the common-law duty of good faith and fair dealing was committed intentionally, with a conscious indifference to Plaintiff's rights and welfare, and with "malice," as that term is defined in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code. These violations are the type of conduct which the State of Texas protects its citizens against by the imposition of exemplary damages. Therefore, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of exemplary damages in an amount determined by the finder of fact sufficient to punish Defendants for their wrongful conduct and to set an example to deter Defendants and others from committing similar acts in the future.

- 87. For fraud, Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual and exemplary damages for knowingly fraudulent and malicious representations, along with attorney's fees, interest, and court costs.
- 88. For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the attorneys subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, under Chapter 38 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and section 17.50 of the DTPA, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.
- As required by Rule 47(b) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that the damages sought are in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. As required by Rule 47(c)(3) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that Plaintiff seeks only monetary relief of no less than \$100,000.00, but no more than \$200,000.00, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees. A jury will ultimately determine the monetary relief actually awarded, however. Plaintiff also seeks pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest legal rate.

REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE

90. Under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190 and 194, Plaintiff requests that Defendants disclose, within fifty (50) days from the date this request is served, the information or material described in Rules 190.2(b)(6) and 194.2.

JURY DEMAND

91. Plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial for all causes of action alleged herein, tried before a jury consisting of citizens residing in Montgomery County, Texas. Plaintiff hereby tenders the appropriate jury fee.

PRAYER

Plaintiff prays that Defendants, United States Liability Insurance Group and Frederic Keith Jefferson, be cited and served to appear, and that upon trial hereof, Plaintiff, Faustina Ortiz, has and recovers from Defendants, United States Liability Insurance Group and Frederic Keith Jefferson, such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate Plaintiff in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, as to actual, consequential, and treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and all punitive, additional, and exemplary damages, as may be found. In addition, Plaintiff requests the award of attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this case, for all costs of Court expended on Plaintiff's behalf, for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and for any other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff, Faustina Ortiz, may show Plaintiff is justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD T WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC

By: /s/ Chad T. Wilson

Chad T. Wilson
Bar No. 24079587
Allen B. Landon
Bar No. 24091870
455 East Medical Center Blvd., Suite 555

Webster, Texas 77598 Telephone: (832) 415-1432

Facsimile: (281) 940-2137 eservice@cwilsonlaw.com

cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com alandon@cwilsonlaw.com

PROVOST UMPHREY LAW FIRM LLP

By: /s/ David P. Wilson

David P. Wilson
Bar No. 21672700
DWilson@pulf.com
490 Park Street, Suite 100
Beaumont, Texas 77701
Telephone: (409) 835-6000
Facsimile: (409) 838-8888

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Montgomery County Spc 4-117 (V-0/2018 #Document 1-5 Filed in TXSD on 06/30/17 Page 23 of 32

	Cran Cage I	NFORMATION SHEET	Received and E-Filed for Record
		NEURWIATION SHEET	5/31/2017 4:10:00 PM
Cause Number (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):17-06-06669	COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):	Barbara Gladden Adamick
	FAUSTINA ORTIZ V. UNITED STATES LIABILIT	Y Insurance Group and Frederic Keith Jeff	ERSON District Clerk
STYLED			—— Montgomery County, Texas
	(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re M	fary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)	,,
 		. 4 . 251	£1 111

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the

time of filing.							
1. Contact information for perso	n completing case information sl	heet:	Names of parties in c		Person	or entity completing sheet is:	
Name: , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Email: cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Faustina Ortiz Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):		□Pro Se		
Address: 455 East Medical Center Blvd., Su 555	Telephone: site 832-415-1432		United States Liability Insurance Group, Frederic Keith Jefferson			Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Custodial Parent:	
City/State/Zip: Webster, Texas 77598	Fax: 281-940-2137					todial Parent:	
Signature:	State Bar No: 24079587		Presumed Father:		l Father:		
2. Indicate case type, or identify	the most important issue in the	oso (sala	et only 1):	en e			
2. mulcate case type, or menning	Civil	LASE (SELEC	a omy 1/.		Fan	iily Law	
	THE STATE OF THE S	11 <u>3</u> 42.55			140	Post-judgment Actions	
Contract	Injury or Damage		Real Property	Marriage Rel	ationship	(non-Title IV-D)	
Debt/Contract	□ Assault/Battery □ Construction □ Defamation Malpractice □ Accounting □ Legal □ Medical □ Other Professional Liability:	Cor	inent Domain/ ndemnation tition et Title spass to Try Title er Property:	☐ Annulment ☐ Enfor ☐ Declare Marriage Void ☐ Modit Divorce ☐ Modit ☐ With Children ☐ Enforc ☐ No Children ☐ Patern ☐ Patern ☐ Recipi		☐ Enforcement ☐ Modification—Custody ☐ Modification—Other Title IV-D ☐ Enforcement/Modification ☐ Paternity ☐ Reciprocals (UIFSA) ☐ Support Order	
☐ Franchise ☐ Insurance ☐ Landlord/Tenant ☐ Non-Competition ☐ Partnership ☐ Other Contract:	Motor Vehicle Accident □Premises Product Liability □Asbestos/Silica □Other Product Liability List Product: □Other Injury or Damage:	Related to Criminal Matters Expunction Judgment Nisi Non-Disclosure Seizure/Forfeiture Writ of Habeas Corpus— Pre-indictment Other:		Other Family Law □ Enforce Foreign Judgment □ Habeas Corpus □ Name Change □ Protective Order □ Removal of Disabilities of Minority □ Other:		Parent-Child Relationship Adoption/Adoption with Termination Child Protection Child Support Custody or Visitation Gestational Parenting Grandparent Access Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental	
Employment	Other	r Civil	A STATE OF THE STA]		Rights ☐Other Parent-Child:	
□ Discrimination □ Retaliation □ Termination □ Workers' Compensation □ Other Employment:	☐Administrative Appeal ☐Antitrust/Unfair Competition ☐Code Violations ☐Foreign Judgment ☐Intellectual Property	□Per □Sec	yyer Discipline petuate Testimony urities/Stock tious Interference ter:			Other Parent-Child:	
Tax			Probate & M				
☐Tax Appraisal ☐Tax Delinquency ☐Other Tax	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration ☐Dependent Administration ☐Independent Administration ☐Other Estate Proceedings			□ Guardianship—Adult □ Guardianship—Minor □ Mental Health □ Other:			
3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1):							
☐ Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court ☐ Declaratory Judg ☐ Arbitration-related ☐ Garnishment ☐ Attachment ☐ Interpleader ☐ Bill of Review ☐ License ☐ Certiorari ☐ Mandamus ☐ Class Action ☐ Post-judgment			gment	□ Prejudgment Remedy □ Protective Order □ Receiver □ Sequestration □ Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction □ Turnover		•	
4. Indicate damages sought (do 1	not select if it is a family law case)) <u>:</u>		\$2,5% a. 1 . 1			
□Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees □Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief □Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000 □Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000 □Over \$1,000,000							

Case 4:17-cv-02018 Document 1-5 Filed in TXSD on 06/30/17 Pagrec24/edfa32E-Filed for Record

5/31/2017 4:10:00 P Barbara Gladden Adamick District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

CHAD T. WILSON LAW FIRM

CHAD T. WILSON – Attorney at Law ALLEN LANDON – Attorney at Law cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com chawkins@cwilson.com

May 30, 2017

17-06-06669

Ms. Barbara Gladden Adamick Montgomery County District Clerk P.O. Box 2985

Montgomery County - DC - County Court at Law #2

Conroe, Texas 77305

RE: Cause No.:______; Faustina Ortiz v. United States Liability Insurance Group and Frederic Keith Jefferson, In the _____ District Court, Montgomery County, Texas.

Dear Ms. Adamick:

Please prepare a civil process citation for the following and have served by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested through the court:

United States Liability Insurance Group c/o Lisa Mann Bingham Mann & House 4500 Yoakum Boulevard Houston, Texas 77006

Frederic Keith Jefferson 2120 Capital Street, Apartment 3414 Houston, Texas 77003

I understand that there is a charge for this service and an additional charge to attach a copy of the Original Petition to the citation will be charged. If any additional information is needed, feel free to contact this office. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

Sincerely yours,

Chad T. Wilson

Texas State Bar No. 24079587 CHAD T WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC

Office: (832) 415-1432

(281) 940-2137

Fax:

June 1, 2017 3:22 PM
Barbara Gladden Adamick
District Clerk
Montgomery County, Texas
Casso, Elizabeth

CITATION

Cause Number: 17-06-06669

Clerk of the Court Barbara Gladden Adamick P.O. Box 2985 Conroe, Texas 77305 Attorney Requesting Service Chad T. Wilson 455 East Medical Center Blvd Suite 555 Webster TX 77598

THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney does not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

To: United States Liability Insurance Group c/o Lisa Mann
Bingham Mann & House
4500 Yoakum Boulevard
Houston, TX 77006

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures at or before 10:00 A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of twenty days after the date of service of this citation before the Honorable County Court at Law #2 Montgomery County, Texas at the Courthouse of said County in Conroe, Texas.

Said Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures was filed in said court on this the 31st day of May, 2017 numbered 17-06-06669 on the docket of said court, and styled, Faustin Ortiz VS. United States Liability Insurance Group, Frederic Keith Jefferson

The nature of plaintiff's demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

Issued and given under my hand and seal of said Court at Conroe, Texas on this the 1st day of June, 2017.

(SEAL)

Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

Gigned. 0/1/2017 00:20 Fix

Elizabeth Casso, Deputy

OFFICER'S RETURN

cause No.	1/-00-00009		Court	No: County	Court	at Law #2
Style: Fa	austin Ortiz VS	. United States	Liability	Insurance	Group,	Frederic
Keith Jef	ferson					
To:	United States	Liability Insur	ance Group	,		
	c/o Lisa Mann	. 124 Ab 77 124 124 125		Å .a		
Address.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 19. 19. 19. T				
	Bingham Mann	46 SE 000000				
	4500 Yoakum Bo	oulevard			A	
	Houston, TX '	77006				
				70 37		
Came to ha	and the day o	f , 2	0 , at	o'cloc	k, and e	xecuted
in		ty, Texas by deli			T 869 48494	
		rue copy of this				
	98. Tel 2009 9	r with the accomp		40.000 \$0.000°	\$500 PERSON NO.	
777,004	. 100a 100 T	d Request for Dis		t,	600"	
		d Keddesc for Dis	Clobales, a	ic che rotto	wing cim	ep and
places, to		D1 d-	33	~L~~~ 6~~	Garrath as	
Name	Date/Time	Place, Co	urse and di	stance from	Courthou	ıse
	\$153.	1/2/2/2				
Manner of	service:	Association of the second			484 69	<u> </u>
Prince Prince						
400000000000000000000000000000000000000	- P.	${ t he defendants(s)}_{ot}$		Approximate the control of the contr		
The dilig	ence used in fin	ding said defenda	int(s) being			
And the ca	ause of failure	to execute this p	rocess is:			
-1588119 	4					
And infor	mation received	as to the whereak	outs of sai	d defendant	(s) bein	g:
					148	Allestan. Na
G000	Take to the second seco					
FEES:	watii ee				60	H carrier
138.ee457	etition and Copy	· ġ			100 mg	gjálf teg _{er}
TOTAL		Š				
-0-11-		T	21			OFFICER
					Com	nty, Texas
			-	Sout 1		1
		ADDT	* ************************************	By:		, Deputy
Complete de		AFFIX ther than a Sheriff,		Clark of the	Court In	aggordango
		authorized person wh				
		ırn must either be ve				
		perjury must contain				
following fo	orm:					
My full na	ame is	my date of	birth is	<u> </u>	and my	address
is	The state of the s					
I DECLARE	UNDER PENALTY C	F PERJURY THAT TH	E FOREGOING	IS TRUE AN	D CORREC	T
		, County, State		v 265 755 756		,
20 .						
			Declar	ant/Authori	zed Proc	ess Server
				TD# & Fvn	Of Car	tification

June 1, 2017 3:24 PM Barbara Gladden Adamick District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas Casso, Elizabeth

CITATION

Cause Number: 17-06-06669

Clerk of the Court Barbara Gladden Adamick P.O. Box 2985 Conroe, Texas 77305 Attorney Requesting Service Chad T. Wilson 455 East Medical Center Blvd Suite 555 Webster TX 77598

THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney does not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

To: Frederic Keith Jefferson 2120 Capital Street, Apartment 3414 Houston, TX 77003

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures at or before 10:00 A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of twenty days after the date of service of this citation before the Honorable County Court at Law #2 Montgomery County, Texas at the Courthouse of said County in Conroe, Texas.

Said Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures was filed in said court on this the 31st day of May, 2017 numbered 17-06-06669 on the docket of said court, and styled, Faustin Ortiz VS. United States Liability Insurance Group, Frederic Keith Jefferson

The nature of plaintiff's demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

Issued and given under my hand and seal of said Court at Conroe, Texas on this the 1st day of June, 2017.

(SEAL)

Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

By: Clifalu Casso Signed: 6/1/2017 03:24 PM

Elizabeth Casso, Deputy

OFFICER'S RETURN

Cause No. 17-06-06669	Court	No: County Court	at Law #2
Style: Faustin Ortiz VS. Unit	ed States Liability	Insurance Group,	Frederic
Keith Jefferson	•		
To: Frederic Keith Jeffe	erson		
Address: 2120 Capital Street,			
Houston, TX 77003			
nouscon, ix //ous			
Came to hand the day of	, 20 , at	o'clock	, and
	County, Texas by de		
within named defendants in pe	그래, 성의 기계를 가지 그리는 이래 아니트를 그냥 받았다.	5 	
date of delivery endorsed the			
the Plaintiff's Original Peti			§ L 2
Disclosures, at the following	_	467 1934, USA	
Name Date/Time	Place, Course and d		rthouse
Name Date, 11mc	and course and c	ribumios riom oou	_0110450
Manage of Familia	·		
Manner of service:		<u> </u>	
	of and as he (e)		
*And not executed as to the d		2/3/3	
The diligence used in finding	said derendant(s) i	peing:	
And the cause of failure to e	xecute this process	18:	
		5 13 3-5-3-1/	
And information received as t	the whereabouts of	. said derendant(_
		<u> </u>	
FEES:	4		
Serving Petition and Copy			
TOTAL	• \$		
		**************************************	OFFICER
			nty, Texas
		By:	, Deputy
	AFFIANT		
Complete if you are a person other			
accordance with Rule 107: the office serve a citation shall sign and ret			
under penalty of perjury. A return			
statement below in substantially th		£ , , , , , , ,	
			4
My full name is	my date of birth	is / / ,	and my
address is	-		-
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PE	RJURY THAT THE FORE	OING IS TRUE AND	CORRECT
	ounty, State of		day of
, 20 .			4
,			
	Declarant	t/Authorized Proc	ess Server
		-,	
		ID# & Exp. Of Cer	tification

Montgomery County District Clerk's Office Ecasso

301 N Main St.

Conros

TX 77301

USPS CERTIFIED MAIL™



9214 8901 4633 9311 4551 72

UNITED STATES LIABILITY INSURANCE GROUP c/o Lisa Mann BINGHAM MANN & HOUSE 4500 YOAKUM BOULEVARD HOUSTON TX 77006 Montgomery County District Clerk's Office Ecasso 301 N Main St.

Conros

TX 77301

USPS CERTIFIED MAIL™



9214 8901 4633 9311 4551 89

FREDERIC KEITH JEFFERSON Frederic Keith Jefferson 2120 CAPITAL STREET, APARTMENT 3414

HOUSTON

TX 77006

for Record
June 1, 2017 3:50 PM
Barbara Gladden Adamick
District Clerk
Montgomery County, Texas
Casso, Elizabeth

Clerk's Certificate of Service by Certified Mail:

I, Barbara Gladden Adamick, Clerk of the District Courts of Montgomery County, Texas, certify that, in cause no. 17-06-06669, I executed the foregoing citation in Montgomery County, Texas on this the 1st day of June, 2017, by placing in the United States Mail a true copy of this citation, with a true copy of the Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures attached thereto, via certified mail no. 9214890146339311455172 and address to United States Liability Insurance Group

Registered Agent Lisa Mann Bingham Mann and House 4500 Yoakum Blvd

Houston TX 77006 and endorsed thereon "RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED"; to certify which witness my hand officially June 01, 2017.

Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

By: Olizalu Casso

Signed: 6/1/2017 03:50 PM

Elizabeth Casso

June 1, 2017 3:49 PM Barbara Gladden Adamick District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas Casso, Elizabeth

Clerk's Certificate of Service by Certified Mail:

I, Barbara Gladden Adamick, Clerk of the District Courts of Montgomery County, Texas, certify that, in cause no. 17-06-06669, I executed the foregoing citation in Montgomery County, Texas on this the 1st day of June, 2017, by placing in the United States Mail a true copy of this citation, with a true copy of the Plaintiff's Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosures attached thereto, via certified mail no. 9214890146339311455189 and address to Frederic Keith Jefferson 2120 Capital St Apt 3414

Houston TX 77003 and endorsed thereon "RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED"; to certify which witness my hand officially June 01, 2017.

> Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk Montgomery County, Texas

By: Casso Signed: 6/1/2017 03:49 PM

Elizabeth Casso

MONTGOME MONTGOME STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE